

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,067	04/06/2001	Walter Jan August De Coster	PHQ99.010	2192
	590 02/11/2003			
Corporate Patent Counsel Philips Electronics North America Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER	
			GUERRERO, MARIA F	
Tarrytown, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner Art Unit 2822 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which replaces the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires	Advisory Action	09/807,067	DE COSTER ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The refore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to o final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed of this population. A proper reply to o final rejection under 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b) PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)	•	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, untriner action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed damendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal fee) or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal fee) or (3) a timely filed Mclose of Appeal fee) or (4) a timely filed may be considered for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RECTION. See MPEP ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RECTION. See MPEP ONLY OF A filed is the date for purposes of determining the extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the determining the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the determining the corresponding amount of the fee and appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the office later than the morphal of region of region of any and appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for the filed within the period set for thin any office of the filed within the period set for the filed within the period set for the filed great for filed fil							
The table of the first of the f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
a)	final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued						
b) ∑ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In on event, however, will be statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 2007 (P. 1) 136(a) and the appropriate extension the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fex paperporiate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.136(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if cheeded. Any reply received by the Office later than three menths after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely fliad, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). 1	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ★ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ★ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ★ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ★ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: * the new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration. 3. ★ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ★ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ★ The a) ★ affidavit, b) ★ exhibit, or c) ★ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because 6. ★ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ★ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ★ will not be entered or b) ★ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: *none** Claim(s) rejected *1.9** Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: *none** Ramanation** Parent Examiner. 9. ★ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). **SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final office action; or						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMM ZARASIAN SUPPRISORY PATENT EXAMINER	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). SIPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMMIT ZARASIAN SIPERWISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added to the claims would require further consideration. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☒ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
NOTE: Mould require further consideration . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none . Claim(s) objected to: none . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMM ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be reconsidered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMMETICARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 			ally rejected claims.				
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: 							
Signature from allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMM ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
application in containion for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMM ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment				
Talsed by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). O. Other:	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
Explanation of now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AME ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). AMME ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the control of the proposed amendment (s).	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: AMIR ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). O. Other: AMIR ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other: AMIN ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 0. Other: AMIN ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 0. Other: AMIN ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	3. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
0. Other: AMIR ZARASIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER							
	0. Other:	AMM	y patent examiner				